

JUL 05 2011

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK  
U.S. COURT OF APPEALSJUDICIAL COUNCIL  
OF THE NINTH CIRCUIT

IN RE COMPLAINT OF  JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT
---

Nos. 11-90089, 11-90090 and  
11-90091**ORDER****KOZINSKI**, Chief Judge:

A pro se litigant filed a largely incomprehensible complaint against two district judges and a magistrate judge. “Under our rules, a judicial misconduct complaint ‘must contain a concise statement that details the specific facts on which the claim of misconduct or disability is based.’” In re Complaint of Judicial Misconduct, 630 F.3d 968, 968–69 (9th Cir. Jud. Council 2010) (quoting Judicial-Conduct Rule 6(b)). “The brief statement of facts required” must “be concise and set forth the alleged misconduct in a clear and straightforward fashion.” Id. at 969. Here, complainant’s allegations are impossible to discern, making his statement of facts inadequate under Rule 6(b). “Misconduct complaints that don’t comply with . . . Judicial-Conduct Rule 6(b) are subject to summary dismissal.” Id. And, while additional exhibits such as those submitted by complainant are permissible, “the exhibits must directly support the allegations of misconduct or disability in the statement of facts.” Id. Without discernible allegations in the statement of facts,

complainant's exhibits can't possibly support any such allegations. Because the complaint lacks clear allegations of misconduct, it must be dismissed.

**DISMISSED.**